TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENTS IN SOCIAL MEDIA

Abdikhakimov Islombek Bahodir ugli

Lecturer at Tashkent State University of Law

islombekabduhakimov@gmail.com

Abstract. This article analyses trademark and copyright infringements across different types of social media platforms. It also discusses the types of trademark and copyright violations, as well, as the ways to avoid internet froud.

Keywords: copyright, trademark, data, copyright infringement, social media, electronic media, advertisement, intellectual property, WIPO, copyright proprietor, internet froud, direct Infringement, vicarious infringement, contributory infringement.

It is totally basic for associations to guarantee their own image names and copyrights when using online media to propel their brands. An association's brands and other authorized advancement are as often as possible almost as significant as the things or organizations that they offer. Online media's capacity to work with easygoing and spur of the moment correspondence – for the most part reliably – can help associations in propelling their brands and spreading secured material, yet it can in like manner work with untouchable abuse of a business' image names and copyrights.

When using electronic media, whether or not through an outcast outlet or an association's own online media stages, promoters should regularly screen the usage of their image names and copyrights. Associations should screen their own electronic news sources similarly as outcast online media stages to ensure that their secured advancement isn't being mishandled by those giving substance through the news sources. Web noticing and screening organizations are available to screen the usage of your business' engravings and copyrights on untouchable regions, including checking online media objections for profile or customer names that are indistinct or altogether like your association's name or brands. This sort of business emulate can hurt an association's picture and reputation at whatever point left unchecked; such checking can in like manner fill in as a positive pointer of business accomplishment. Associations should think about holding, on various online media regions, customer names that facilitate or eagerly take after their brand names and checks.

Long reach relational correspondence regions overall have terms and conditions that restrict brand name and copyright infringement, and various objections, similar to Twitter, also have rules concerning business just as large name emulate. Twitter terms and conditions state, in huge part:

Using an association or business name, logo, or other brand name guaranteed materials in a manner that may hoodwink or frustrate others or be used for money related benefit may be seen as a brand name system encroachment. Records with an unmistakable objective to hoodwink others will be suspended; whether or not there is certainly not an unequivocal brand name system encroachment, attempts to bamboozle others may achieve suspension.

Twitter has unequivocal game plans directing business or individual emulate and name slouching down. An eminent case remembering charges of emulation for Twitter included Tony La Russa, Manager of the St. Louis Cardinals Major League ball club. In May 2009, La Russa sued Twitter for brand name infringement for allowing an impersonator to use La Russa's name as a Twitter profile name and post unfriendly "tweets" under the name. The case was in the end settled. Anthony La Russa v. Twitter, Inc., Case Number CGC-09-488101 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Fran. Co., May 6, 2009).

Numerous web-based media outlets have strategies by which substances or people can report brand name or copyright maltreatment to the power source, which may then make fitting moves, including suspending the dependable client's record and eliminating encroaching substances. Indeed, numerous online media organizations, including Facebook, YouTube, and Twitter, give guidelines explicitly to presenting a takedown notice identifying with purportedly copyright encroaching substance, a system that can bear the cost of the web-based media outlets some insusceptibility under the government Digital Millennium Copyright Act (which is examined in detail underneath).

What's more, organizations ought to have terms and conditions for their own web-based media outlets, with arrangements determining how to appropriately utilize the organization's or potentially outsiders' protected innovation. Advertisers directing particular sorts of online media showcasing

efforts, especially advancements and client created content missions, ought to have decisions set up that incorporate explicit restrictions in regards to reserve and copyright encroachment and pantomime.

As per Steinman and Hawkins (2010), It is absolutely critical for organizations to secure their own brand names and copyrights when utilizing online media to advance their brands and items. A company‟s brands and other licensed innovation are regularly close to as significant as the items or administrations that they offer. Social media‟s ability to work with casual and off the cuff correspondence regularly consistently can help organizations in advancing their brands and scattering protected material, however it can likewise work with third party maltreatment of a business‟ brand names and copyrights (Steinman and Hawkins, 2010). When utilizing web-based media, regardless of whether by means of an outsider outlet or a company‟s own web-based media stages, advertisers ought to consistently screen the utilization of their brand names and copyrights. Organizations should screen their own web-based media outlets just as outsider online media stages to guarantee that those giving substance through the news sources are not abusing their protected innovation. Web following and screening administrations are accessible to screen the utilization of your business‟s stamps and copyrights on outsider destinations, including checking web-based media locales for profile or client names that are indistinguishable or generously like your company‟s name or brands (Steinman and Hawkins, 2010).

As expressed by Steinman and Hawkins (2010), This type of business pantomime can harm a company‟s brand and notoriety whenever left unchecked; such checking can likewise fill in as a positive marker of business achievement. Organizations ought to consider saving, on different online media destinations, client names that coordinate or intently look like their business

trademarks and checks (Steinman and Hawkins, 2010). Furthermore, organizations ought to have terms and conditions for their own web-based media outlets, with arrangements determining how to appropriately utilize the organizations or outsider protected innovation. Advertisers leading particular kinds of web-based media showcasing efforts, especially advancements and client created content missions, ought to have decisions set up that incorporate explicit forbid dances with respect to reserve and copyright encroachment and pantomime (Steinman and Hawkins, 2010).

Copyright enactment is important for the collection of law known as "licensed innovation," which secures the interests of makers by giving them property rights over their manifestations. These privileges of property are perceived under the laws of most nations to invigorate human scholarly innovativeness and to make the products of such imagination accessible to the general population. Intellectual property law ensures scholarly and imaginative functions just as manifestations in the field of alleged "related rights." Literary and creative works incorporate books, music, works of expressive arts like canvases and figures, and innovation based works, for example, PC programs and electronic information bases. These works can be in simple or in computerized structure. Certain copyright works can just exist in computerized structure. For instance the PC programs, which are genuinely 'computerized copyright' works. Other copyright works can exist in both simple and advanced structures like PC produced scholarly, sensational, melodic or imaginative works, melodic works, sound chronicles, film communicates, and so on Intellectual property law ensures just the type of articulation of thoughts, not simply the thoughts.

Intellectual property law secures the proprietor of property rights in scholarly and creative ways that neutralizes the individuals who "duplicate" or

in any case take and utilize the structure where the first work was communicated by the creator. Copyright encroachment is the unapproved utilization of works covered by intellectual property law, in a way that abuses the copyright proprietor's selective rights. Anyway these days it is simpler to duplicate and share computerized data, to reorder from a website page, to share documents. Much of the time, the sharing of documents includes the production of duplicates. Indeed, even basic assignments, for example, sending email and perusing the web include the making of duplicates.

Intellectual property law is quickly evolving. The digitization of substance and the development of the Internet put numerous difficulties to the way copyright-secured material is ensured, authorized and overseen. The primary significant worldwide show to build up the guideline of public treatment was the Berne Convention, which traces all the way back to 1886. It has been overhauled on various events however it remains the main global settlement. The US consented to the Berne

Show in 1989. Later the difficulties of digitization brought about the two most recent worldwide copyright arrangements: the WIPO Copyright Treaty and the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty, both of December 1996 (Stokes, 2005).

Data is progressively being delivered in computerized design. New correspondence innovations carry extraordinary freedoms for improving admittance to data and innovation can possibly improve correspondence and access. Here and there mechanical change is significant to the point that it shakes the establishments of a whole group of law. Distributed (P2P) record sharing frameworks—Napster, Gnutella, KaZaA, Grokster, and Freenet—are only the side effects of a bunch of mechanical advancements that have gotten rolling a continuous cycle of central changes in the idea of intellectual property

law. Undeniably, we are amidst an erudite person, moral, and legitimate battle over the fate of copyright-the battle over the fate of the rights to copy and change data (Lawrance, 2005).

Intellectual property law, despite the fact that managing theoretical results of thought, requires obsession. Before, the fixed duplicates of the first article could be analyzed, parted with, exchanged, and so forth However, the advanced items are extraordinary. Anyway they can be parted with or in any case shared without losing admittance to the "first." The computerized duplicates are indistinguishable. This is unique in relation to actual items. A book, for instance, can be parted with, and the supplier loses admittance to the book. An advanced book, then again, can be parted with while the proprietor keeps an indistinguishable duplicate. This thought has significantly influenced the contentions about the first work and its relationship to duplicates and the primary deal in the advanced climate. In deciding if a creation can get copyright insurance, computerized media, for example, CDs or programming programs on a drive are viewed as a fixed configuration. The attributes of computerised works and the organisation climate, especially the idea of the indistinguishable duplicate and the simplicity of replicating works, enables clients to make, alter, circulate, and present data on a scale that has not been conceivable previously. These capacities, in any case, incorporate the control of data that is protected. Any sort of data can be sent. The dissemination of protected material without the copyright holder's consent (in cases that are not exceptions or reasonable use) is illicit. For instance individuals who are sharing protected music and films are likely encroaching, since it's hard to perceive how reasonable use or an exclusion might actually apply.

One should look for the authorization of the copyright proprietor on the off chance that he needs to make, appropriate, lease or advance duplicates of the

creator's work, or to adjust, perform, show or broadcast it. This applies to chip away at the web as well. Be that as it may, the copyright proprietor is under no commitment to give such authorization. A few instances of copyright encroachment in advanced climate are duplication of a CD or other recorded media containing copyright material without authorization of the copyright holder; unapproved downloading of protected material and sharing of recorded music over the Internet, frequently as MP3 documents; unapproved utilization of text content on the internet by replicating starting with one website then onto the next without assent of the creator, and so on.

Direct Infringement

To win under a hypothesis of direct copyright encroachment, an offended party should show that it claims the copyright in the work and that the respondent disregarded

at least one of the offended party's selective rights under the Copyright Act,4 specifically:

1) Generation of the work;

2) Preparation of subordinate works dependent on the work;

3) Distribution of duplicates of the work;

4) Public execution of abstract, melodic, sensational, and choreographic works, emulates, and films and other general media works;

5) Public presentation of scholarly, melodic, emotional, what's more, choreographic works, emulates and films and other general media works;

6) Public execution of sound chronicles by methods for an advanced sound transmission.

Contributory Infringement

Media organizations have since quite a while ago fought over the degree of contributory encroachment risk, tracing all the way back to the fight over the

utilization of VCRs. In Sony Corp. v. All inclusive City Studios, Inc., ordinarily known as the Betamax case, the Supreme Court held that the offer of an item with significant non-encroaching uses doesn't build up contributory encroachment, in any event, when that item can likewise be utilized for encroaching employments. Notwithstanding, in MGM Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd., the Supreme Court refined Betamax, holding that contributory encroachment might be set up when a gathering conveys an item equipped for both encroaching and non-encroaching uses with the plainly shown objective of advancing copyright encroachment. Consequently, the components of contributory encroachment are (1) that the gathering knows about the encroaching action, and (2) that the gathering prompts or really adds to the encroaching behavior of a direct infringer.[1]

Vicarious Infringement

Vicarious encroachment is perhaps the most fervently discussed UGC legitimate issue. A gathering might be vicariously obligated for another's immediate encroachment if that party (1) has the privilege and capacity to manage the direct infringer, and (2) has a direct monetary interest in the encroaching movement.

UGC specialist co-ops could confront obligation for copyright encroachment under any or the entirety of the three speculations of copyright risk. For instance, in 2011, record organizations brought copyright encroachment claims against Lime Group LLC, normally known as "LimeWire. LimeWire worked on a product program that permitted clients to share

[1] MGM Studios Inc., 545 U.S. at 930. Some have commented that Grokster merely elaborates on the existing category of contributory infringement, while others argue the better reading is that the Supreme Court set forth a new theory of secondary infringement, “inducement of copyright infringement,” the elements of which are (1) an intent to induce infringement, even if no such inducement actually occurred, and (2) direct infringement. See id. at 936-37; 3 Melville B. Nimmer & David Nimmer, Nimmer on Copyright § 12.04[A][4][b] (2014)

computerized documents, specifically music and recordings, over the Internet. Nonetheless, large numbers of the documents that clients shared included protected material. Prior to administering on the gatherings' cross-movements for synopsis judgment, the court found that LimeWire clients had straightforwardly encroached on the offended parties' copyrights. Then, the court allowed the offended parties' synopsis judgment movement on their instigation of copyright encroachment guarantee, finding that LimeWire had deliberately dispersed records and empowered direct copyright encroachment by its clients. Notwithstanding, the court denied the two players' movements for rundown judgment concerning contributory encroachment, presuming that there was a reality issue with regards to whether LimeWire was fit for non-encroaching employments. At long last, the court denied LimeWire's movement for synopsis judgment on the vicarious copyright encroachment guarantee on the grounds that generous proof showed that LimeWire permitted and benefitted from the encroachment, despite the fact that it had the way to screen and recognize ill-advised action by its clients.

Despite the fact that LimeWire attempted to contend the Betamax rule applied, the court saw that this standard had not yet been applied with regards to vicarious encroachment claims and that a few courts had indeed expressly dismissed such application. However, see Disney Enters. v. Hotfile Corp., 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172339 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 28, 2013) (conceding outline on the issue of vicarious risk).

Fraud on the Internet

E-business deception jumped out with the speedy addition in omnipresence of destinations. It is a hot issue for both advanced and snap and-mortar vendors. The back-stabbers are dynamic basically in the locale of stocks. The little examiners are bedeviled by the assurance of bogus advantages by the stock advertisers. Trades are in like manner conducive to blackmail, by the two sellers and buyers. The openness of messages and seem ads has caused budgetary offenders to have sections to various people. Various regions of potential coercion join apparition business openings and fake hypotheses.

There are various ways that publicists can be cheated for their publicizing. For instance, click misrepresentation happens when a distributor or outsiders click (physically or through computerized implies) on a CPC promotion with no genuine purchasing aim. For instance, click extortion can happen when a contender taps on advertisements to exhaust its adversary's promoting financial plan, or when distributors endeavor to fabricate income.

Snap extortion is particularly connected with erotic entertainment locales. In 2011, certain misleading pornography sites dispatched many secret pages on every guest's PC, constraining the guest's PC to tap on many paid connections without the guest's information.

As with disconnected distributions, online impression extortion can happen when distributors exaggerate the quantity of promotion impressions they have conveyed to their sponsors. To battle impression misrepresentation, a few distributing and promoting industry affiliations are creating approaches to tally online impressions soundly.[2]

[2] Elliot, Stuart (14 November 2012). "Renaming the Circulation Overseer". Retrieved 20 June 2013.

References

1. Digital Marketing Systems and Techniques". Warwick. Retrieved 28 August 2019.
2. Pratik Dholakiya (14 April 2015). "3 Digital Marketing Channels That Work for Every Advertiser". Entrepreneur. Retrieved 17 October 2015.
3. Edelman, B.; Brandi, W. (2015). "Risk, Information, and Incentives in Online Affiliate Marketing". Journal of Marketing Research. 52 (1): 1–12. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.589.9857. doi:10.1509/jmr.13.0472. S2CID 15601535.
4. Suzanne Vranica; Mike Shields (23 September 2016). "Doubts About Digital Ads Rise Over New Revelations". Wall Street Journal. Dow Jones & Company, Inc. Retrieved 25 September 2016.
5. Content Marketing", The SAGE International Encyclopedia of Mass Media and Society, SAGE Publications, Inc., 2020, doi:10.4135/9781483375519.n154, ISBN 978-1-4833-7553-3, retrieved 2020-04-19
6. Chandler, Daniel. (March 2016). A dictionary of social media. Munday, Rod., Oxford University Press. (First ed.). Oxford. ISBN 978-0-19-180309-3. OCLC 952388585
7. Abdurakhmanova, N., 2023. Smart kontraktlarni tartibga solish va amaliyotga tadbiq qilish. In Science-Zone Online Conferences (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 1-13).
8. Patrutiu-Baltes, Loredana (2016). "Inbound Marketing - the most important digital marketing strategy". Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov. Economic Sciences. 9: 61–68 – via ProQuest
9. Abdixakimov, I., 2022. Cyber Crimes in Digital Economy. Elita. uz-Elektron Ilmiy Jurnal, 1(1), pp.1-5.

10. IAB internet advertising revenue report: 2012 full year results" (PDF). PricewaterhouseCoopers, Internet Advertising Bureau. April 2013. Archived (PDF) from the original on 12 May 2013. Retrieved 12 June 2013
11. European Commission, Online platforms: Commission sets new standards on transparency and fairness, IP/18/3372, published 26 April 2018, accessed 14 November 2020